

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.				
09/891,654	06/27/2001	Takashi Maruko	Q65201	5513				
7590 05/21/2002								
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213			EXAM	EXAMINER				
			DUONG, 7	DUONG, THANH P				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
		3711						
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2002	DATE MAILED: 05/21/2002				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- M-	Amplicant(a)				
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	ad			
Office Action Summary		09/891,65	i4	MARUKO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Tom P Du		3711	===			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status	n annius to communication(s) filed	on 27 June 2001						
/ 	Responsive to communication(s) filed	☐ This action is						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition fo			atters, prosecution as to the	merits is			
3)□	Since this application is in condition to closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte</i> Q	uayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	X.			
Dispositio	n of Claims							
	claim(s) $1-5$ is/are pending in the appli							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) <u> </u>	claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election r	equirement.					
Applicatio								
	ne specification is objected to by the E		1	the Everniner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
	All b) Some * c) None of:	have be	on received					
•	Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have be	on received in	Application No				
:	Certified copies of the priority do	the priority docum	en received in	n received in this National S	Stage			
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- lation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	D-948) er No(s)	4) Interview 5) Notice (6) Other:	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s of Informal Patent Application (PTC	s) · -152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/891,654

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

1. Claims 1-3 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Higuchi (5,704,854) in view of Examiner Official Notice. Regarding claims 1 and 2, Higuchi discloses a multi-piece solid golf ball (Fig. 1) comprising a solid core (1) of at least one layer, an intermediate layer enclosing the solid core, and a cover (3) enclosing the intermediate layer, wherein said intermediate layer has a gage G_1 of 0.8 to 2 mm and 1 to 2mm (Col. 3, lines 27-30) and a Shore D hardness of 50 to 65 (Col. 2, lines 59-60), said a cover has a G_2 of 0.5 to 1.3 mm and a Shore D hardness of 37 to 53 (Col. 3, lines 39-41). Although, Higuchi does not disclose the following equation: $[G_1/(G_1+G_2)] \times 100 =$ 45%, but this equation is inherent within the context of the disclosure. Furthermore, the values G_1 and G_2 disclosed by Higuchi appear to satisfy the above equation. Regarding claim 3, Higuchi discloses a multi-piece golf ball of claim 1, wherein said solid core undergoes a deflection of 3 to 4.5mm under an applied load of 100 kg (Col. 2 lines 55-56). Regarding claim 5, Examiner takes Official Notice it is conventional to make a cover out of urethane resin and furthermore, Higuchi discloses the urethane composition throughout his invention (Col. 2).

Art Unit: 3711

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references applied in claim 1 above, in view of Sullivan (5,688,869). Regarding claim 4, Higuchi does not disclose multi-piece solid golf ball of claim 1 wherein said cover is formed of a cover material having a melt index of at least 3.0 dg/min at 190° C, but Sullivan teaches a golf ball having a cover composition with a melt index of 35 dg/min (Col. 4). Thus, it would have been obvious in view of Sullivan at the time of the invention was made that Higuchi would have obtained a melt index of at least 3.0 dg/min by carrying out similar laboratory testing as taught by Sullivan. One of ordinary skill in the art would have expected Higuchi to obtain similar melt index as taught by Sullivan since the disclosed composition of Higuchi and Sullivan are similar.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tom P Duong whose telephone number is (703) 305-4559. The examiner can normally be reached on from Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Sewell, can be reached on (703) 308-2126. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-9302.

Application/Control Number: 09/891,654

Art Unit: 3711

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-4119.

TD Examiner May 15, 2002

Paul T. Sewell Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700